Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/887,491	ABE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Justin E. Shepard	2623	

	Justin E. Shepard	2623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following re application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date: have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex- bunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the ste set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office are may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed will AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	ΓE below);	
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or			ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11		ected claims.	
See Continuation Sneet. (See 37 CFR 1.11) The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12.		mnliant Amendment (I	OTOL -324)
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		Inpliant Americanent (I	TOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. \(\bigcirc \) per purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\bigcirc \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See included response to arguments. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (late 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Chris Kelley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2623			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The added limitaions that are found in dependent claim would not result in the independent claims becoming allowable(.